

Planning Team Report

Amend Newcastle LEP 2012 to rezone land from E4 Environmental Living to pt R2 Residential and pt E2 Environmental Conservation at No.505 Minmi Road, Fletcher

Proposal Title :	Amend Newcastle LEP 2012 t and pt E2 Environmental Con			lesidential
Proposal Summary :	The Planning Proposal will in Environmental Living to part I Conservation under the Newo permit the expansion of the e	R2 Low Density Residentia	al and part E2 Environmenta	I
	The land has a total area of 26 allotments. Approximately 12 rezoned to E2 Environmental	ha of the site, which conta		
PP Number :	PP_2013_NEWCA_001_00	Dop File No :	09/04973	

Proposal Details

Date Planning Proposal Received :	15-Jan-2013	LGA covered :	Newcastle
Region :	Hunter	RPA :	Newcastle City Council
State Electorate :	NEWCASTLE	Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		

Location Details

Street : 5	05 Minmi Road				
Suburb : F	letcher	City :	Newcastle LGA	Postcode :	2287
Land Parcel :					
DoP Planning Of	ficer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Trent Wink				
Contact Number :	0249042716				
Contact Email :	trent.wink@planning.n	sw.gov.au			
RPA Contact Deta	ails				
Contact Name :	Shannon Turkington				
Contact Number :	0249742000			6	
Contact Email :	sturkington@ncc.nsw.	gov.au			
DoP Project Man	ager Contact Details				

Contact Name :

Contact Number :

Contact Email :

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Lower Hunter Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :	0	Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	26.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots :	110	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	110
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	20
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment :	Yes		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
-			
If Yes, comment :			
If Yes, comment : Supporting notes			
	Gateway. Council was advis Catchment Management Aut	sal (PP_2009_NEWCA_001_00) wa ed to consult with the Office of Er hority and Rural Fire Service rega ne maintenance of habitat corrido	nvironment and Heritage, the arding possible
upporting notes	Gateway. Council was advis Catchment Management Aut environmental offsets and th The proponent has negotiate package to compensate for t for the vegetation offsets ne	ed to consult with the Office of En hority and Rural Fire Service rega	nvironment and Heritage, the arding possible rs. biodiversity conservation g or conservation agreement Environment and Heritage
upporting notes	Gateway. Council was adviss Catchment Management Aut environmental offsets and the The proponent has negotiate package to compensate for the for the vegetation offsets ne and the relevant parties befor The RFS has raised concern western boundary asset pro- Major Project for 3300 reside and South), which has been advises that the proponent the information. In the circumstate	ed to consult with the Office of En hority and Rural Fire Service rega ne maintenance of habitat corrido ed a combined onsite and offsite the proposed clearing. A plannin eds to be signed by the Office of	nvironment and Heritage, the arding possible rs. biodiversity conservation g or conservation agreement Environment and Heritage ster makes the plan. In layout and temporary roposed Coal and Allied d MP10/0090 Link Road North assessed. Council verbally but and submitted further RFS in accordance with the
upporting notes	Gateway. Council was adviss Catchment Management Aut environmental offsets and the The proponent has negotiate package to compensate for the for the vegetation offsets ne and the relevant parties befor The RFS has raised concern western boundary asset pro- Major Project for 3300 reside and South), which has been advises that the proponent the information. In the circumstate	ed to consult with the Office of En- hority and Rural Fire Service rega- ne maintenance of habitat corrido ed a combined onsite and offsite the proposed clearing. A plannin eds to be signed by the Office of ore Council requests that the Mini- tection zone, which adjoins the p- ential allotments (MP_ 08/0125 an exhibited and is currently being a has modified the subdivision layo ances, further consultation with F	nvironment and Heritage, the arding possible rs. biodiversity conservation g or conservation agreement Environment and Heritage ster makes the plan. In layout and temporary roposed Coal and Allied d MP10/0090 Link Road North assessed. Council verbally but and submitted further RFS in accordance with the
Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes :	Gateway. Council was advise Catchment Management Aut environmental offsets and the The proponent has negotiate package to compensate for the for the vegetation offsets ne and the relevant parties befor The RFS has raised concern western boundary asset pro Major Project for 3300 reside and South), which has been advises that the proponent h information. In the circumsta Minister's S117 Direction befor	ed to consult with the Office of En- hority and Rural Fire Service rega- ne maintenance of habitat corrido ed a combined onsite and offsite the proposed clearing. A plannin eds to be signed by the Office of ore Council requests that the Mini- tection zone, which adjoins the p- ential allotments (MP_ 08/0125 an exhibited and is currently being a has modified the subdivision layo ances, further consultation with F	nvironment and Heritage, the arding possible rs. biodiversity conservation g or conservation agreement Environment and Heritage ster makes the plan. In layout and temporary roposed Coal and Allied d MP10/0090 Link Road North assessed. Council verbally but and submitted further RFS in accordance with the
Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes :	Gateway. Council was advis Catchment Management Aut environmental offsets and the The proponent has negotiate package to compensate for the for the vegetation offsets ne and the relevant parties befor The RFS has raised concern western boundary asset pro Major Project for 3300 reside and South), which has been advises that the proponent h information. In the circumsta Minister's S117 Direction befor	ed to consult with the Office of En- hority and Rural Fire Service rega- ne maintenance of habitat corrido ed a combined onsite and offsite the proposed clearing. A plannin eds to be signed by the Office of ore Council requests that the Mini- tection zone, which adjoins the p- ential allotments (MP_ 08/0125 an exhibited and is currently being a has modified the subdivision layo ances, further consultation with F	nvironment and Heritage, the arding possible rs. biodiversity conservation g or conservation agreement Environment and Heritage ster makes the plan. In layout and temporary roposed Coal and Allied d MP10/0090 Link Road North assessed. Council verbally but and submitted further RFS in accordance with the
Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes : Equacy Assessment Statement of the ob	Gateway. Council was advis Catchment Management Aut environmental offsets and the The proponent has negotiate package to compensate for the for the vegetation offsets ne and the relevant parties befor The RFS has raised concern western boundary asset pro Major Project for 3300 reside and South), which has been advises that the proponent h information. In the circumsta Minister's S117 Direction befor	ed to consult with the Office of En- hority and Rural Fire Service rega- ne maintenance of habitat corrido ed a combined onsite and offsite the proposed clearing. A plannin eds to be signed by the Office of ore Council requests that the Mini- tection zone, which adjoins the p- ential allotments (MP_ 08/0125 an exhibited and is currently being a has modified the subdivision layo ances, further consultation with F	nvironment and Heritage, the arding possible rs. biodiversity conservation g or conservation agreement Environment and Heritage ster makes the plan. In layout and temporary roposed Coal and Allied d MP10/0090 Link Road North assessed. Council verbally but and submitted further RFS in accordance with the

		I from E4 Environmental Living to pt R2 ervation at No.505 Minmi Road, Fletcher
Explanation of pro	visions provided - s5	5(2)(b)
ls an explanation of p	rovisions provided? Yes	
Comment :	The PP explains the	details of the proposed LEP amendments. Council intends to apply ial development standard controls for the portion of land being sity Residential.
Justification - s55	(2)(c)	
a) Has Council's strat	egy been agreed to by the [Director General? No
b) S.117 directions ide	entified by RPA :	2.1 Environment Protection Zones
* May need the Direct	or General's agreement	2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Únstable Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
Is the Director Ger	neral's agreement required?	
c) Consistent with Sta	ndard instrument (LEPs) O	rder 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have	e the RPA identified?	SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land
matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies	with items a), b) and d) beir	ng adequately justified? No
If No, explain :	SEPP No 44—Koala Comment: No Koal the site. SEPP No 55—Reme Comment:Contami SEPP (Infrastructur	nation report required.
	Comment: The PP i	um Production and Extractive Industries s not inconsistent with this S117 Direction because the subject land n coal mined. Further consultation with the Department of Primary quired.
	Comment: The PP i proposed to be read improved and main offset at Stroud of 6 Heritage. 2.3 Heritage Conser Comment: The PP r of the site was under	s consistent with this S117 Direction. 12 hectares (45%) of the site is oned E2 Environmental Conservation to ensure the land is protected, tained in perpetuity for conservation purposes. In addition, an offsite 58.5 hectare has been negotiated with the Office of Environment and
	3.1 Residential Zon Comment: The PP i existing residential R2 Low Density Res	s consistent with this S117 Direction. Council intends to apply the development standard controls for the portion of land being rezoned

Community consulta	purposes. ation - s55(2)(e) ation been proposed? Yes
Has community consulta	Recommend a minimum of 28 days. This will give the community sufficient time to review the planning proposal and consider the biodiversity offset package. When the
Additional Director (review the planning proposal and consider the biodiversity offset package. When the draft VPA is finalised, it will also need to be exhibited for 28 days. General's requirements
Are there any additional	Director General's requirements? Yes
If Yes, reasons :	A planning or conservation agreement for the biodiversity offset package shall be signed by the Office of Environment and Heritage and the relevant parties before Council requests that the Minister makes the plan.
Overall adequacy of	the proposal
	the proposal the adequacy criteria? Yes
Does the proposal meet	
Does the proposal meet If No, comment : Proposal Assessment Principal LEP:	
Does the proposal meet If No, comment : Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date :	the adequacy criteria? Yes
Does the proposal meet If No, comment : Proposal Assessment Principal LEP:	
Does the proposal meet If No, comment : Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation	the adequacy criteria? Yes
Does the proposal meet If No, comment : Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation	the adequacy criteria? Yes SI LEP completed

The Lower Hunter Regional Strategy and the Newcastle-Lake Macque Planning Strategy (2010) identifies this locality as a residential inve Council's local strategies, Maryland Minmi Structure Plan (1994) an Local Area Plan (1996) identify the subject land as having potential The Blue Gum Hills release area has developed generally in accord estrategies over the last 10.15 years.	stigation area. d Maryland Integrated for urban development.
Local Area Plan (1996) identify the subject land as having potential The Blue Gum Hills release area has developed generally in accord	for urban development.
strategies over the last 10-15 years.	
Also, the site is bound to the north and east by residential developr plan for a 3,300 lot residential subdivision (MP_ 08/0125 and MP10/0 and South) is currently being assessed under the former part 3A of Planning and Assessment Act 1979 for the land to the south and we	0090 Link Road North the Environmental
 Environmental social economic impacts : The proposed residential rezoning will require the clearance of national council have supported in principle the proposed biodiversity of which compensates for the proposed clearing. The biodiversity continuolves rezoning 12 hectares (45%) of the site to E2 Environmental providing an offsite offset at Stroud of 68.5 hectare. A planning or of is required to ensure the land is protected, improved and maintaine conservation purposes. Social and Economic Impacts The planning proposal should have a net community benefit by inclusioned residential land in close proximity to existing services. Import 	conservation package, nservation package I Conservation and conservation agreement ed in perpetuity for reasing the supply of
conservation package compensates for the required clearing.	nanny, me biouversky
Assessment Process	
Proposal type : Consistent Community Consultation 28 Da Period :	ays
Timeframe to make 12 Month Delegation : DG	
Public Authority NSW Aboriginal Land Council Consultation - 56(2)(d) Office of Environment and Heritage : Mine Subsidence Board NSW Rural Fire Service	
Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? No	
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes	97° 97°
If no, provide reasons : Council and the proponent have consulted with the Office of Enviro the Catchment Management Authority and Rural Fire Service to pro order to resolve the required environmental offsets. Further consult recommended to provide comments about the revised subdivision	vide guidance in tation with RFS is
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No	
If Yes, reasons :	

Identify any additional studies, if required. :

Heritage

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? Yes

If Yes, reasons : The Infrastructure Planning and Coordination Unit has issued its concurrence for the site to be mapped as an urban release area.

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	ls Public
Newcastle Planning Proposal No.505 Minmi Rd.pdf	Proposal	Yes
OEH, RFS, CMA & HWC comments.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Covering Letter and resolution.pdf	Proposal	Yes
URA concurrence.docx	Determination Document	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : **Recommended with Conditions**

S.117 directions:	2.1 Environment Protection Zones
	2.3 Heritage Conservation
	3.1 Residential Zones
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
	4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
Additional Information :	It is recommended that:
	1. The Planning Proposal be supported and Council be given the Director-General's
	delegations.
	2. Consult with the NSW Rural Fire Service before undertaking community consultation to
	address the requirements of the Minister's S117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire
	Protection.
	3. Council be required to address SEPP 55 in the Planning Proposal and prepare a
	contamination report. The contamination report shall be exhibited with the Planning
	Proposal.
	4 The subject site be identified as an Urban Release Area and the Department's model
	clause adopted to require the development to contribute towards the provision of
	designated State Infrastructure and provide required public utility infrastructure.
	5. Council require the preparation of an aboriginal heritage study in accordance with
	current guidelines on assessing aboriginal cultural heritage. It should also address the
	requirements of the Minister's S117 Direction 2.3 to ensure the conservation of aborigina
	objects and places, which may exist along the unnamed drainage channel that runs
	through the south west corner of the study area.
	6. Community consultation is required under section 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmenta
	Planning & Assessment Act 1979 ('EP&A' Act) as follows:
	(a) the Planning Proposal be made publicly available for 28 days;
	(b) the relevant authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition
	of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be publicly available
	along with planning proposals as identified in section 4.5 of A guide to preparing LEPs

Amend Newcastle LEP 2012 to rezone land from E4 Environmental Living to pt R2	
Residential and pt E2 Environmental Conservation at No.505 Minmi Road, Fletcher	

(Department for Planning 2009).

7. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act:

- NSW Aboriginal Land Council
- Office of Environment and Heritage
- Mine Subsidence Board

Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material. Each public authority is to be given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal, or to indicate that they will require additional time to comment on the proposal. Public authorities may request additional information or additional matters to be addressed in the planning proposal.

8. A planning or conservation agreement for the biodiversity offset package shall be signed by the Office of Environment and Heritage and the relevant parties before Council requests that the Minister makes the plan.

9. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP& A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing.

10. The timeframe for completing the LEP is 12 months from the date of the Gateway Determination.

Supporting Reasons : Delegations:

Council resolved to accept the Department's delegations however does not want to use these delegations for this planning proposal. Notwithstanding it is recommended that Council be given the delegations because the planning proposal is consistent with the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy and the Office of Environment and Heritage has agreed in principal to the environmental conservation package. Furthermore, Council is more than capable to address the S117 Directions and resolve any issues raised by the community, Rural Fire Service or the Mine Subsidence Board.

The proponent has negotiated a biodiversity conservation package to compensate for the proposed clearing. A planning or conservation agreement for the vegetation offsets needs to be signed by the Office of Environment and Heritage and the relevant parties before Council requests that the Minister makes the plan.

Signature:

KARE

O'FLAHER

Printed Name:

1 Date: